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Abstract

Background: The integrity of a mother-infant dyad is essential for the proper development of maternal behavior and infant growth/development. At present, there is a lack of objective approaches to monitor mother-infant behavioral exchanges.

Objectives: This is an exploratory prospective study designed to evaluate the Mother-Infant Mutualistic Screening Scale (MIMSS), a novel observational tool focused on monitoring the mutual/reciprocal sensitivity and responsiveness that mother and infant express toward one another’s behaviors/actions during the obligatory setting of daily meal times.

Methods: Mother-infant interactions were assessed from videotaped feeding sessions conducted under naturalistic observations. Data were collected from 27 mother-preterm infant singleton dyads at 6 and 12 month corrected age (CA). Four levels of MIMSS are defined: Level I - both mother and infant are not responsive (NR) to one another’s actions; Level II - mother is not responsive (NR) to infant, but infant is responsive (R) to mother; Level III - mother is responsive (R) to infant, but infant is not responsive (NR) to mother; Level IV - both mother and infant are responsive (R) to one another.

Results: Inter- and intra-rater reliability between two raters was 93% and ≥ 85%, respectively. At 6 and 12 month CA, 78% and 81% of the dyads were at a MIMSS Level IV, respectively. A change in mother-infant reciprocal behavioral responses or MIMSS levels was observed in 9 of the dyads between these two ages. No association was observed between MIMSS levels and infant growth/development as monitored by percentile Weight, Length, and Weight by Length at both CAs.

Conclusions: The MIMSS is easy to use with high inter- and intra-rater reliabilities. With the ability to differentiate between mother and infant reciprocal behavioral responses toward one another’s actions, MIMSS can help health professionals assess the quality of mother-infant interactions and identify the partner(s) who may benefit from individualized assistance. Although MIMSS uses mealtime as a recurrent setting, it offers a conceptual framework for evaluating co-regulatory processes under different contexts.
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Introduction

Supportive care giving involves sensitive and attuned dyadic interactions between mother and infant and is associated with maternal well being, caregivers’ confidence, and beneficial infant developmental outcomes [1–4]. However, it is evident that such interactions are not always consistently harmonious or synchronized [5].

The task of feeding an infant does not only pertain to nutrition. It is also an opportunity for comforting, nurturing, and bonding between mother and infant. As such, the act of feeding plays an inherently important role in determining the collaborative and interactive processes of a mother-infant dyad [6,7]. As infants transition to solid food during their first year of life, mother and infant need to develop a continually changing mealtime “dialogue” to ensure stable interactive feeding sessions [7]. This is not only based on the introduction of new food (e.g., new tastes, textures), but is also essential for the infant’s budding autonomy. Consequently, as feeding is a repetitive and mandatory task, the quality of mother-infant interactions is continually challenged [8].

Through our research and practice, we have observed that feeding problems often result from a mismatch between infants’ cues and caregivers’ subjective interpretations of these cues. The development of such relationships has been shown to impact infant nutrition and subsequent neurodevelopment, which has been particularly evident in the preterm infant cohort [9–11]. They also have less efficient self-regulatory strategies, increased difficulty soothing and regulating negative arousal, and demonstrate greater reactivity and sensitivity to distress [12–14]. Thus, mother-preterm infant dyads are at greater risk of developing suboptimal relationships when compared to mother-term infant counterparts. Such asynchronous exchanges may lead to long-term maternal stress, infant oral feeding disorders, and poor growth/development in addition to increased medical costs [15–20]. It is clear that infants, particularly those born prematurely may not have attained the degree of neurophysiologic organization that would allow them “to partake in a temporarily matched social dialogue” with their mother [21]. As such, the ‘day in-day out’ exchanges taking place during feeding sessions can engender a series of behavioral patterns that may become congenial/fluid or erratic as mother and infant fall into the familiarity of routine exchanges. Forcada-Guex and colleagues distinguish the effects of two patterns of interactions on the developmental and behavioral outcomes of mother-infant dyads: a cooperative pattern, whereby mothers display a protective role, and a controlling pattern, whereby mothers display a risk-precipitating role. The former is commonly observed in mother-term infant dyads, while the latter is more prominent among mother-preterm infant dyads [22].

The repetitive and obligatory task of having to feed an infant daily offers an optimal setting to study the development of mother-infant relationships that eventually form within the mother-preterm infant dyad. The present study is based on the theoretical construct that the sustained and obligatory interchanges recurring during daily feeding sessions between mother and infant create a double feedback circuit that offers a unique opportunity to study the development of mother-infant interactions. It is hypothesized that for balanced exchanges of mutual benefit, such feedback would compel partners to continually “re-adjust” their actions towards one another as illustrated in the schematic in Figure 1.
Two possible feedback circuits may occur depending upon whether the partner initiating the action is the mother (Figure 1, Feedback A) or the infant (Figure 1, Feedback B). We further advance that the learning of such mutual responsiveness/sensitivity early on in the relationship will safeguard the integrity of the mother-infant dyad. It is based on this working premise that the Mother-Infant Mutualistic Screening Scale (MIMSS) was developed to monitor the presence or absence of any response expressed by one partner (responder) towards the action/behavioral changes of the other partner (initiator), irrespective of the quality of such responses. It is further hypothesized that such real-time monitoring in a routine meal time setting will help identify the fluidity of mother-infant interactions and may assist health professionals in identifying the partner(s) who may benefit from assistance in optimizing such co-exchanges.

Methods

MIMSS Scale

The MIMSS scale is an observational tool based on two working premises: 1) the degree of synchronicity between mother and infant interactions is a function of their respective immediate responsiveness to one another’s actions. 2) Mothers, due to their maturity, are the active partners, i.e., the decision-makers who control the feeding, while infants, due to their immaturity, are the passive partners who cannot initiate volitional choices. However, depending upon the maturity level of their neuro-physiologic and/or motor development, infants will provide feedback when overwhelmed through “reflexive” behaviors, e.g., arching, spitting, choking, “shutting down,” and/or physiologic adverse events, e.g., oxygen desaturation, apnea, bradycardia. With maturation, infants will demonstrate increasing volitional feedback or autonomy, e.g., fussing, pushing away.

The MIMSS considers four levels of interactions between partners, characterized simply by whether mother or infant are immediately responsive (R), i.e., within ≤ 5 sec, or not responsive (NR) to one another’s positive or negative behavioral changes during a feeding session (Figure 2): Level I - both mother and infant are not responsive (NR) to one another’s action/behavior; Level II - mother is not responsive (NR) to infant, but infant is responsive (R) to mother; Level III - mother is responsive (R) to infant, but infant is not responsive (NR) to mother; Level IV - both mother and infant are responsive (R) to one another. As such, with MIMSS Levels I through III, mother-infant interactions would be deemed imbalanced, as opposed to Level IV where mother and infant mutually contribute to a balanced co-exchange (Figure 2).

Participants

Twenty-seven mother-preterm infant dyads, with singletons born between 25 to 30 weeks gestational age (GA), participated in the study. The subjects were part of a larger long-term follow-up study conducted at Texas Children’s Hospital (Houston TX) that monitored maternal stress and infants’ growth/development during their stay in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) through 24 month corrected age (CA). The Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Review Board for Human Research approved the study, and mothers consented for their infant(s) and themselves prior to the study. Infants’ exclusion criteria included gastrointestinal complications, congenital anomalies or chronic medical conditions, periventricular leukomalacia, necrotizing enterocolitis, and...
bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Inclusion criteria included only “feeders and growers”, defined as clinically stable infants whose hospital discharge were delayed due to their inability to attain independent oral feeding.

**Study Design/Methodologies**

Following their NICU discharge, mother-infant dyads returned at 6 and 12 month (± 2 weeks) CA to the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at the hospital for a videotaped mid-day meal, as this was when parents brought their infant to the GCRC. Parents were instructed to bring their infant’s meal as infants were expected to be transitioning to purée and solids, respectively, around these two ages. Videotaping was conducted in a private room at the GCRC with a camera (Panasonic PV-Gs400 3-CCD) placed discreetly behind a door at an angle where both mother’s and infant’s faces were visible while remaining minimally disruptive to the infant’s attention. Mothers decided on how infants were fed, i.e., mother’s lap or high chair, and determined when a feeding ended. In general, purée/ solids were offered prior to milk (bottle/ cup). Mothers were encouraged to interact with their infant as they would at home, e.g., talking, singing. The primary outcome measure was the MIMSS scoring. Infants’ measures of growth/development included their percentile Weight, Length, and Weight by Length using the World Health Organization (WHO) growth curves [23].

The authors rated 54 videos scoring mothers and infants as responsive (R) or not responsive (NR) as per MIMSS’s criteria. In order to attain a more complete perspective of the mealtime interactions, each minute of the first and last three minutes of a feeding session were scored, i.e., a total of six 1-minute scores/subject. The overall MIMSS level for the entire feeding duration was based on the most frequent 1-minute MIMSS scores observed during these six minutes. Chi-square analysis was used to monitor infants’ growth outcomes, i.e., percent (%) Weight, Length, and Weight by Length at 6 and 12 month CA. Regression analysis was used to assess potential correlations between MIMSS levels and infants’ corresponding percent growth measures at these two ages. Significance was determined at ≤ 0.05.

**Results**

Infants’ characteristics at birth, 6 and 12 month CA and their

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GA (weeks)</th>
<th>Gender % male (n)</th>
<th>6 Month Weight (kg) [range]</th>
<th>6 Month Length (cm) [range]</th>
<th>12 Month Weight (kg) [range]</th>
<th>12 Month Length (cm) [range]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Table 1A:** Infant characteristics (N = 27). * mean ± SD

**WHO Growth Curve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO Growth Curve (%)</th>
<th>6 Month % Weight (n)</th>
<th>6 Month % Length (n)</th>
<th>6 Month % W × L (n)</th>
<th>12 Month % Weight (n)</th>
<th>12 Month % Length (n)</th>
<th>12 Month % W × L (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>25.9 (7)*</td>
<td>4.4 (12)</td>
<td>14.8 (4)</td>
<td>46.7 (16)*</td>
<td>41.7 (10)</td>
<td>29.2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–50</td>
<td>40.7 (11)</td>
<td>25.9 (7)</td>
<td>22.2 (6)</td>
<td>25.0 (6)</td>
<td>29.2 (7)</td>
<td>41.7 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–75</td>
<td>18.5 (5)</td>
<td>11.1 (3)</td>
<td>25.9 (7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.7 (4)</td>
<td>12.5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 75</td>
<td>14.8 (4)</td>
<td>18.5 (5)</td>
<td>37.0 (10)</td>
<td>8.3 (2)</td>
<td>12.5 (3)</td>
<td>16.7 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1B:** Percentile of infants falling within each % WHO growth curve for Weight, Length, and Weight by Length. *Between symbols χ² p < 0.006

**Discussion**

Symbiosis describes the three intimate associations existing between two dissimilar organisms with: 1) one partner benefiting, while the other is neither harmed or benefiting [commensalism]; 2) one partner benefiting, while the other is harmed [parasitism] and 3) both partners benefiting [mutualism] [24].

Based on the dissimilarity existing between the mature and immature organism represented by the mother and child, respectively, it has been proposed that the “living together” of

**Table 2:** Maternal characteristics.

mother and child can be defined as a symbiotic relationship where by both partners would strive to benefit [2,25]. However, such togetherness may only be productive if there is a "friendly and mutual recognition" of each partner’s differences or characteristics [2]. One may speculate that the type of symbiotic relationship that evolves will determine the quality of mother-infant bonding that will ensue. If mother and infant respond in a positive manner to one another’s actions, the synchronous bidirectional process would result in effective and beneficial co-exchanges. In turn, such co-exchanges ultimately would lead to both partners readily adapting their own behaviors to meet the other’s needs, thereby reducing their respective levels of emotional and behavioral difficulties while strengthening their bond [19,26]. The beneficial nature of such reciprocal responses would encompass social and sensory cues, e.g., vocalization, olfaction (pheromones), and/or touch, whether expressed mutually or in isolation by mother or infant [27–29]. Accordingly, attainment of such fluid exchanges of information would enhance both partners’ communication as well as cognitive and emotional development over the long term [30]. Behavioral patterns between mother and infant customarily develop as the dyad enters into routine relationships evolving as a function of mother-infant mutual sensibility towards one another. Unfavorable relationships that are not recognized may threaten the balance of the dyad and negatively impact maternal/infant behavior and infant growth and development, while increasing both partners’ stress.

In monitoring mother’s and infant’s respective ability to continually “re-adjust” their actions towards one another, MIMSS offers a unique opportunity to differentiate between both partners’ sensibility towards one another’s actions. Its simplicity is reflected by its high inter- and intra-rater reliability (93% and ≥ 85%, respectively). MIMSS also offers additional advantages: 1) its use over time offers a means to identify the partner(s) who has progressed or regressed; 2) its use is not only relevant to mothers and preterm infants, but germane to a broad spectrum of mother-infant dyads across development. As feeding is an obligatory repetitive task, feeding sessions provide an optimal opportunity to study mother-infant “forced” interactive behaviors during routine tasks. MIMSS yielded high inter- and intra-rater reliability. With its simple ‘yes/no’ approach, it allows for a more unbiased/ objective evaluation of the subjects’ interactions. Indeed, the rapid objective real-time assessment of the presence/ absence of any response(s) from mother/ infant eliminate the subjective interpretations that developmental specialists may have as to the quality of the observed responses.

Our observations that the majority of our dyads (67%) maintained a MIMSS Level IV at 6 and 12 month CA, while others did not, is supported by the study of Van Dijk and colleagues. These authors noted that during feeding, “while the interaction behavior of some caretaker-infant dyads remains variable, others stabilize quickly [as a result of] a co-regulation process of consensual frames” [7]. Variability in dyadic interactions measured at any one time point needs to be carefully interpreted because additional maternal, infant, and/or environmental factors may be interfering, e.g., maternal stress, social support, infant’s status and/or maturation, home environment. As such, the longitudinal MIMSS monitoring over time is recommended for the identification of an overall sustained pattern of maternal-infant exchanges.

Table 3: Dyads demonstrating different MIMSS scores at 6 and 12 months CA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dyads (n)</th>
<th>MIMSS Level</th>
<th>Mothers</th>
<th>Infants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IV to II</td>
<td>Improved (R → NR)</td>
<td>No Change (R → R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IV to II</td>
<td>Regressed (R → NR)</td>
<td>No Change (R → R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>III to IV</td>
<td>No change (R → R)</td>
<td>Improved (NR → R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IV to I</td>
<td>Regressed (R → NR)</td>
<td>Regressed (R → NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I to II</td>
<td>No change (NR → NR)</td>
<td>Improved (NR → R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The absence of association between MIMSS levels and infant’s percentile weight, length, and weight by length at both ages supports the view that infants’ growth status, although important, is not a prime determinant of the quality of their interactions.

In brief, it is advanced that MIMSS’s ability to detect mother and infant immediate responsiveness to one another’s behaviors/actions facilitates the monitoring of mother-infant relationships and bonding, and may help identify the partner(s) who may benefit from external support. Indeed, as we have observed, within each MIMSS level, developmental specialists could identify the initiator and the ability of the responder to re-balance their interactions. Although mothers, as the active partners, may bear more responsibility than their infants in upholding balanced dyadic exchanges, an infant may encounter neuro-physiologic/motor issues hindering his/her interactions with mother.

Study Limitations

The limitations of this study lie in its small sample size derived from a single NICU site and its limited testing times. To extend MIMSS’s potential outreach, its evaluation to a larger cohort across multiple NICUs and examiners would be needed. Additionally, as it was conducted only on infants at 6 and 12 month CA, it currently lacks reliability across meals and days for individual dyads. However, as MIMSS can be used at any time, its reliability under the above conditions can be verified by monitoring subjects at closer time intervals. This would have the advantage of further offering a more accurate profile of the evolution of mother-infant interactions during meal times could be obtained. Future studies conducted on mothers with high-risk and healthy infants will also further determine the outreach that MIMSS may have on different population of mother-infant dyads.

In summary, MIMSS is a novel objective and observational scale that can differentiate between the immediate reciprocal behavioral responsiveness of mother and infant during feeding. Its concept is not only relevant to mother and their healthy preterm infants, but germane to a broad spectrum of mother-infant dyads across development. As feeding is an obligatory repetitive task, feeding sessions provide an optimal opportunity to study mother-infant ‘forced’ interactive behaviors during routine tasks. MIMSS yielded high inter- and intra-rater reliability. With its simple ‘yes/no’ approach, it allows for a more unbiased/ objective evaluation of the subjects’ interactions. Indeed, the rapid objective real-time assessment of the presence/ absence of any response(s) from mother/ infant eliminate the subjective interpretations that developmental specialists may have as to the quality of the observed responses.
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